

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/461,040	BALDONADO ET AL.
	Examiner Maikhahan Nguyen	Art Unit 2176

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maikhahan Nguyen.

(3) Seth Kim.

(2) Joseph Feild.

(4) Klifton Kime.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rivette (U.S. 6,389,434 and Rivette (U.S 5,809,318)).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agrees to file a formal response including clarifying amendments and discussing definition of distinct.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Maikhahan Nguyen  
Examiner's signature, if required